

United States Patent and Trademark Office



DATE MAILED: 04/13/2004

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

			ини.шри.дот	5W
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/029,158	12/21/2001	Nam P. Suh	A-69175-1/MSS	5210
7590 04/13/2004 FLEHR HOHBACH TEST ALBRITTON & HERBERT LLP Suite 3400 Four Embarcadero Center San Francisco, CA 94111-4187			EXAMINER	
			RACHUBA, MAURINA T	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3723	F()

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		\mathcal{M}				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/029,159	DEEDS ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	M Rachuba	3723				
The MAILING DATE of this communication apperiod for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS froe, cause the application to become ABANDON	timely filed ays will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02 J</u> 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for allowal closed in accordance with the practice under the practice under the practice.	s action is non-final. ince except for formal matters, p					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-4,6-9,12 and 16-20 is/are pending 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-4,6-9 and 12 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 16-20 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers	wn from consideration.					
··· _						
•	9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
	O) The drawing(s) filed on 15 April 2002 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	xaminer. Note the attached Offic	e Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☒ None of: 1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority document 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority document 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applica rity documents have been receiv u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ition No ved in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summar					
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	Paper No(s)/Mail I 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Date Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/029,159 Page 2

Art Unit: 3723

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly

claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claims 7 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claims 7 and 8 depend from canceled claim 5, the scope of the claims cannot be determined. It is assumed that these claims depend from claim 1.

Double Patenting

3. A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain a patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See *Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co.*, 151 U.S. 186 (1894); *In re Ockert*, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer <u>cannot</u> overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

4. Claims 1-4, 6-9 and 12 provisionally rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claims 1-4, 6-9 and 12 of copending Application No. 09/628,563. This is a <u>provisional</u> double patenting rejection since the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

5. Claims of this application conflict with claims 1-4, 6-9 and 12 of Application No.

37 CFR 1.78(b) provides that when two or more applications filed by the same applicant

04/628,563

4/4/64

Application/Control Number: 10/029,159 Page 3

Art Unit: 3723

contain conflicting claims, elimination of such claims from all but one application may be required in the absence of good and sufficient reason for their retention during pendency in more than one application. Applicant is required to either cancel the conflicting claims from all but one application or maintain a clear line of demarcation between the applications. See MPEP § 822.

Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 16-20 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's response has overcome the previous rejections.

Conclusion

- 8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Other similar devices are cited of interest.
- 9. Any inquiry concerning the content of this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to M. Rachuba whose telephone number is (703) 308-1361. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:30 AM to 4:00 PM. Any inquiries concerning other than the content of this and previous communications, such as missing references or filed papers not acknowledged, should be directed to the Customer Service Representative, Tech Center 3700, (703) 306-5648.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph Hail, can be reached on (703) 308-2687. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 872-9302.

Application/Control Number: 10/029,159 Page 4

Art Unit: 3723

In lieu of mailing, it is encouraged that all formal responses be faxed to 703-872-9302.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1148.

M. RACHUBA PRIMARY PATENT EXAMINER ART UNIT 3723

mtr April 6, 2004